

1259:restreq

I hereby certify that this paper (2 page) is being facsimile
transmitted to the USPTO on the date shown below.

Edward Langer, Reg. No. 30,364

Date

Sept 13 '02

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of : INGMAN, Dov, et al.

Serial No. : 09/700,496

Filed : February 23, 2001

For : MULTI-ACTION PARTICLE FOR STRUCTURING
BIOLOGICAL MEDIA

FAX RECEIVED
SEP 18 2002
GROUP 1600

Group Art Unit 1642
Examiner: Susan Ungar

Raanana, Israel
September 9, 2002

Hon. Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

In response to the Office Action mailed June 18, 2002 containing a restriction requirement, Applicant provisionally elects the claims relating to disinfection applications, labelled Group VIII by the Examiner in the Office Action.

Applicant respectfully traverses the Examiner's argument that the inventions of Groups I through Group VIII are distinct. Applicant believes that these groups do not represent distinct inventions, as they are linked by linking claims 1 and 10. Therefore, the restriction requirement between Groups I through VIII should be withdrawn. Applicant believes a generic claim can be drawn to the products to provide adequate coverage.

Respectfully submitted,

Edward Langer, Attorney

Reg. No. 30,564

FEE VALUE ACCOUNTING	
DEPOSIT ACCOUNTING	
YES	VALUE
NO	PLACEMENT

10/04/2002 XDOWNING 00000002 501115 09700496
01 FC:216 200.00 CH

BEST AVAILABLE CC.